

Compilation of the Evaluations of Doctoral Thesis (Human Kinetics) - Student: Nicholas Ali (5033260)

Thesis Supervisors: Gordon Robertson and Gholamreza Rouhi

Evaluation	Examiner C Daniel B��no��t	Examiner B Michel Labrosse	Examiner A Edward Lemaire	Examiner D (external) Graham Caldwell	Second external (following withdrawal of D. B��no��t) M. Pierrynowski	
1st evaluation January 2012	Verdict # 4	Verdict # 2	Verdict # 3	Verdict # 3		
Result 18 January 2012	Dean informs student that he must register and revise his thesis during the Winter 2012 session					
2nd evaluation using form for a first evaluation* Oct-Nov 2012	Withdrew (7 Aug 2012)	Verdict # 1	Verdict # 3	Verdict # 3	Verdict # 3	
2nd evaluation using form for a revised thesis*		Verdict # 1	Verdict # 3	Verdict # 2	Verdict # 3	
Final result 30 January 2013	Dean of FGPS notifies student that his revised thesis still fails to meet the standards required for the degree and that he must withdraw from the program.					

* The examiners were sent, in error, the form to be used for the first evaluation of a thesis. The form for a revised thesis makes it clear that if more than one examiner chooses verdict # 3 or # 4, the student will have to withdraw.

See next page for a description of the verdicts on the form for a revised thesis

FGPS regulation G

CRITERIA: DOCTORAL THESIS: The thesis must constitute a significant contribution to knowledge, embody the results of original investigation and analysis by the student and be of such quality as to merit publication.

FGPS regulation G.5.2 (a)

A thesis may not be defended if two examiners are opposed. If one of the examiners has serious reservations concerning the thesis, the matter is referred to the dean of the FGPS. A candidate whose thesis is not recommended for the defence following a second reading must withdraw from the program.

FORM for revised thesis :

Verdict # 1: The revised thesis is accepted for the defence.

- Meets criteria listed above.
- Required changes are limited to correcting occasional grammatical or spelling errors, formatting problems or minor redundancies or omissions.

Verdict # 2: The revised thesis is accepted for the defence but additional changes are required AFTER DEFENCE.

- Quality of the presentation is acceptable and necessary changes will not have an impact on the defence.
- Jury will determine which additional changes are necessary and who will be responsible for ensuring they are completed and approving the thesis.

Verdict # 3: The revised thesis still cannot be accepted for the defence and must undergo additional extensive revision BEFORE DEFENCE to ensure it meets the above criteria.

- There are still problems with the interpretation of results or the theoretical or methodological approach, but the thesis may meet the criteria if substantial changes are made.
 - The presentation of the revised thesis is still not acceptable (numerous grammatical or spelling errors, evidence of carelessness, etc)
- In this case, the revised thesis is returned to the candidate to complete additional revisions and submit a new revised version to examiners.

Examiners must judge changes satisfactory before thesis can proceed to defence stage.

If more than one examiner chooses this verdict for the revised thesis, the student must withdraw from the program.

Verdict # 4: The revised thesis still fails to meet the standards required for the degree.

- The work was not done in accordance with established methodology employed in the discipline.
- The thesis still does not exhibit the candidate's capacity to meet the scholarly standards required.
- Even with additional extensive revision, the thesis would not meet the standards required for the degree.

By choosing this verdict, the examiner recommends that the student withdraw from the program. If more than one examiner chooses this verdict for the revised thesis, the student must withdraw from the program.